

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

International application No.	Applicant's or agent's file reference P57640D	FOR FURTHER see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.					
PCT/ GB 00/ 01028			(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)				
## BINARY ELECTRONICS LIMITED This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Artide 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of	.,						
This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of		27/03/2000 27/03/1999					
This International Search Report consists of a total of)					
1. Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. 2. X Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II). 4. With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: 5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, aubmit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawlings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 2 None of the figures.	according to Article 18. A copy is being tra This International Search Report consists	of a total of3 sheets.					
a. With regard to the tanguage, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: 5. With regard to the abstract, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. Sequence of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. None of the figures.							
the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently turnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, The text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: The text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. as suggested by the applicant. because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	a. With regard to the language, the i		asis of the international application in the				
b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of Invention is tacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, X	the international search w		the international application furnished to this				
3. Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). 4. With regard to the title, X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: 5. With regard to the abstract, X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. X as suggested by the applicant. None of the figures.	b. With regard to any nucleotide and was carried out on the basis of the contained in the internation filed together with the internation furnished subsequently to the statement that the subsequent international application at the statement that the info	e sequence listing: onal application in written form. omational application in computer readable for o this Authority in written form. o this Authority in computer readble form. osequently furnished written sequence listing us filed has been furnished.	rm. does not go beyond the disclosure in the				
4. With regard to the title, X	2. X Certain claims were found	nd unsearchable (See Box I).					
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: 5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. X as suggested by the applicant. None of the figures.	3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box II).					
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. X as suggested by the applicant. Decause the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	the text is approved as su	, , ,					
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. X as suggested by the applicant. Decause the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	5. With regard to the shetmet						
as suggested by the applicant. None of the figures. Decause the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	the text is approved as su the text has been establis	shed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Autho					
because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	6. The figure of the drawings to be publ	lished with the abstract is Figure No.	2				
			None of the figures.				
Decause this figure better characterizes the invention.							
	Decause this figure better	characterizes the invention.					

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT



International Application No CT/GB 00/01028

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 H04B3/54 H02J13/00							
		•					
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC							
B. FIELDS	the state of the s	a overhole)					
IPC 7	Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 H04B H02J						
Documentati	on searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that su	ch documents are included in the fields sea	urched				
·	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data base	e and, where practical, search terms used)					
C. DOCUME	NTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT						
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the rele	vant passages	Relevant to claim No.				
A	EP 0 380 187 A (FORMULA SYSTEMS LTD) 1 August 1990 (1990-08-01) column 8, line 36 -column 9, line 6 column 9, line 15 - line 23 column 10, line 26 -column 11, line 5		1-9, 11-14				
A	EP 0 092 284 A (RADIOTECHNIQUE COMPELEC; PHILIPS NV (NL)) 26 October 1983 (1983-10-26) abstract		1-9, 11-14				
Furt	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	X Patent family members are listed	n annex.				
° Special ca	ategories of cited documents :		matianal filing data				
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document published after the international filing date but a principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is a combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such do			the application but early underlying the slaimed invention to considered to current is taken alone slaimed invention ventive step when the ore other such docuus to a person skilled				
	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sea	urch report				
ļ	15 June 2000 10 07 2000						
Name and	mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fay: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer De Iulis, M					

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

ation on patent family members

International Application No CT/GB 00/01028

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		nt family nber(s)	Publication date
EP 0380187	Α	01-08-1990	AT	101279 T	15-02-1994
21 0000107		01 00 1770	AU	614444 B	29-08-1991
				4466389 A	08-03-1990
			AU	613809 B	08-08-1991
				4466489 A	08-03-1990
			AU	614197 B	22-08-1991
				4466689 A	15-03-1990
			AU	599616 B	26-07-1990
			AU	6599286 A	02-06-1987
			CA	1285627 A	02-07-1991
			CA	1285629 A	02-07-1991
			CA	1285630 A	02-07-1991
			CA	1303177 A	09-06-1992
			DE	3689612 D	17-03-1994
			DE	3689612 T	13-10-1994
			EP	0226322 A	24-06-1987
			WO	8703100 A	21-05-1987
				3501315 T	19-05-1988
			NZ	218180 A	29-01-1990
			NZ	230789 A	29-01-1990
			NZ	230790 A	29-01-1990
			NZ	230791 A	29-01-1990
			PH	25367 A	13-05-1991
			US	4894952 A	23-01-1990
			US	4910464 A	20-03-1990
			US	4864296 A	05-09-1989
EP 0092284	Α	26-10-1983	FR	2525417 A	21-10-1983
			DE	3373857 D	29-10-1987



nternational application No. PCT/GB 00/01028

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. X Claims Nos.: 10,15 because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: The claims 10,15 refer to figures. This is not allowed by the rule 29 (6)
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box I.2

Claims Nos.: 10,15

The claims 10,15 refer to figures. This is not allowed by the rule 29 (6)

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims, or parts of claims, relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure.